

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/682,455 10/10/2003		10/10/2003	Jorg Kowalczyk	G5005.0026 9811		
32172	7590	12/02/2004		EXAMINER		
	_	IRO MORIN & OS	HENRY, MICHAEL C			
1177 AVENU 41 ST FL.	JE OF TI	HE AMERICAS (6T	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
NEW YORK	, NY 10	0036-2714	1623			

DATE MAILED: 12/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N		Applicant(s)					
		10/682,455		KOWALCZYK ET	AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	<u> </u>				
		Michael C. Hei	nrv	1623					
	Th MAILING DATE of this communication a	!			idress				
Period fo	or Reply								
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a result of the provision of the p	N. 1.136(a). In no event, he reply within the statutory rood will apply and will expitute, cause the application	owever, may a reply be time minimum of thirty (30) days ire SIX (6) MONTHS from t in to become ABANDONED	ely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•							
· · · · ·		——· his action is non-fi	inal.						
	,—			secution as to the	e merits is				
-,	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
				1					
•	Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
		Tawn Ironn conside	Brauon.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected.									
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
<u>ا ا</u> ره	are subject to restriction and	voi election requii	ement.						
Applicati	ion Papers								
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.							
10)[10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner. Note th	ne attached Office	Action or form PT	°O-152.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
·	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
	application from the International Bure	au (PCT Rule 17.	.2(a)).						
* S	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified of	copies not received	i.					
Attachment	ı(s)		•		:				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) [Interview Summary (I						
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	.e. 5) [Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Pa)-152)				
	r No(s)/Mail Date		Other:	tone / tppiloduori (i Te	(102)				

Art Unit: 1623

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-13 are pending in application

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed complies with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, 1.98 and MPEP § 609. It has been placed in the application file and the information referred to therein has been considered as to the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The phrase "after pasteurization the starting components are homogenized in a method step (b)", in claim 1 renders the claim indefinite. More specifically, it is unclear how the step designated method step (b) can occur after pasteurization which is in step (c). This phrase is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. Similarly, claim 2 which recites the phrase "after autoclaving the starting components are homogenized in a method step (b')", renders the claim indefinite, since it is unclear how the step designated method step (b') can occur after autoclaving which is in step (c').

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Art Unit: 1623

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nishio et al. (JP 01191646 A, abstract).

In claim 2, applicant claims a "Method of production of an isomaltulose-containing enteral nutrient including the steps of: (a') providing the starting components water, fat, at least one nitrogen-containing component, and carbohydrate with the inclusion of isomaltulose, and (c') autoclaving the starting components for 5-15 min. at temperatures ≥120 °C, wherein before or after autoclaving the starting components are homogenized in a method step (b')."

Nishio et al. disclose a method of the production of an isomaltulose-containing enteral nutrient including the steps of: providing the starting components water, fat (a lipid), at least one nitrogen-containing component (a lacto protein), and carbohydrate (another saccharide), palatinose (isomaltulose), and heating this solution at a temperature of 120 °C under normal pressure.

The difference between applicant's claimed method and the method of Nishio et al. is that applicant autoclave and homogenize their composition. However, autoclaving as opposed to heating at the same temperature should only affect the time of heating required and not the product formed, and it is common to homogenize such compositions that are intended for enteral or oral consumption to produce a composition of homogeneous consistency. Furthermore,

Art Unit: 1623

Nishio et al.'s admixes the components of their composition to produce a composition of homogeneous consistency (see abstract).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the claimed invention was made to use Nishio et al.'s method to produce an isomaltulose-containing enteral mutrient, and to autoclave and homogenize the nutrient depending on availability and/or need, since autoclaving as opposed to heating at the same temperature (at normal pressure) should only affect the time of heating required for the production of the enteral-nutrient composition, and it is common in the art to homogenize such compositions that are intended for enteral or oral consumption.

One having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use Nishio et al.'s method to produce an isomaltulose-containing enteral mutrient, and to autoclave and homogenize the nutrient depending on availability and/or need, since autoclaving as opposed to heating at the same temperature (at normal pressure) should only affect the time of heating required for the production of the enteral-nutrient composition, and it is common in the art to homogenize such compositions that are intended for enteral or oral consumption.

Allowable subject Matter

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The examiner has found claims 1 and 3-13 to be unobvious over the prior art of record and therefore may be allowable over the prior art of record provided that the 112 rejection is overcome. The present invention relates to a method of production of an isomaltulose-containing enteral nutrient including the steps of: (a) providing the starting components water, fat, at least one nitrogen-containing component, and carbohydrate with the inclusion of isomaltulose, and (c) pasteurizing

Art Unit: 1623

the starting components for 10-30 seconds at temperatures ≥120 °C, wherein before or after pasteurizing the starting components are homogenized in a method step (b)." The very relevant prior art documents (JP 01191646 A) to this invention disclose a method of production of an isomaltulose-containing enteral nutrient including the steps of providing the starting components water, fat, at least one nitrogen-containing component, and carbohydrate. However, though the method of the present invention (like that of the prior art document) also relates to the production of an isomaltulose-containing enteral nutrient, the prior art document does suggest nor teach the pasteurizing and homogenizing of said nutrient, and it is neither obvious to pasteurize or homogenize said nutrient especially at the specific claimed time and temperatures.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael C. Henry whose telephone number is 571-272-0652. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am to 5:00 pm; Mon-Fri. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson can be reached on 571-272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308-1235.

MCH

November 19, 2004.

James O. WILSON

PENAISUMY PATENT EXAMINER TRANSOLOGY CENTED 4000

INTUMBERED CENTER 1600